UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,734	02/27/2004	Ramnath N. Iyer	El-7617 6096		
•	7590 07/27/200 T SERVICES CORPO	EXAMINER			
(FORMERLY	ETHYL CORPORATI	GOLOBOY, JAMES C			
330 SOUTH 47 RICHMOND, '			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1714		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/788,73	34	IYER ET AL.	•			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
•	•	James Go	loboy	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MAILING OF T	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no evo d will apply and wi tte, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be time ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the lication to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this commur ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status			,					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is n ance except	for formal matters, pro-		rits is			
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6,8-23 and 25-36 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6,8-23 and 25-36 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from co	nsideration.		·			
Applicati	on Papers							
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) e drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment of 6/19/07 overcomes the rejections under 35 USC 102 over Watts in paragraph 2 of the office action mailed 3/29/07. The rejections over Srinivasan have been maintained below, and new grounds of rejection for the claims not previously rejected over Srinivasan, necessitated by applicant's amendment, are also set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12-16, 18-19, 22-23, 25, 27, 29-32, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srinivasan.

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 3/29/07, which is incorporated here by reference. Amended claim 1 is equivalent to original claim 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 5, 8, 11, 17, 21, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan.

This rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 3/29/07, which is incorporated here by reference.

4. Claims 20 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan in view of Watts.

The rejections of claims 20 and 33 are adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of the office action mailed 3/29/07, which is incorporated here by reference.

5. Claim 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watts in view of Srinivasan.

Claim 26 depends on claim 12, which has been amended to require that the fluid composition be free of dispersant viscosity index improver (VII). Watts teaches a method of lubricating a power transmission with a fluid that contains a non-dispersant VII, but can also contain a dispersant VII. As discussed in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 3/29/07, which is incorporated by reference above, Srinivasan discloses an automatic transmission fluid requiring only a non dispersant VII. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the power transmission fluid of Watts could be used without the further addition of a dispersant VII, meeting the limitations of claim 26.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that paragraph 101 of Srinivasan discloses the preferred use of a dispersant polymethacrylate VII or a mixture of dispersant and non-dispersant VIIs. However, paragraph 101 clearly also discloses a non-dispersant VII by itself as a preferred VII. Applicant also argues that one of skill in the art would not be led toward

Application/Control Number: 10/788,734

Art Unit: 1714

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is 571-272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Janus C. Goloby JCG

> /Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Page 5